



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI FI	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/611,423	10/611,423 07/02/2003		Ian Robert Johnson	1509-425	2565
22429	7590	12/23/2005		EXAMINER	
LOWE HA		N GILMAN AND	DILDINE JR,	DILDINE JR, R STEPHEN	
SUITE 300 /	- · <del>-</del>	NAD	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ALEXANDE	da, Va	22314	2133		

DATE MAILED: 12/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	10/611,423	JOHNSON, IAN ROBERT					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	R. Stephen Dildine	2133					
The MAILING DATE of this communication a		he correspondence address					
Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statent or the period of the period of the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS	from the mailing date of this communication.					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ T	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allow	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)  Claim(s) <u>10 and 20</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,5-9,11-19 and 21-23</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) 2-4 is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	a/or election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 July 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)∐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached C	Miles Action of Term 1 7 G 1 G 2					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
- See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the detailed depise not received.							
Attachment(s)							
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sur	nmary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948	"	Mail Date  commal Patent Application (PTO-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/Si Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 10/611,423

Art Unit: 2133

### Specification

The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.52(b)(6) because of the following informalities: the square brackets have only three numerals instead of the required four.

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Objections

Claim 12 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(i) because of the following informalities: Claim 12 lacks the required line indentations. Appropriate correction is required.

Claims 22-23 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. These claims do not add any further limitations to the receiver claimed in the parent claims.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 9, 11, 19, 21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. The use of the term "Bluetooth<sup>TM</sup>" in these claims is vague and indefinite because a trademark designates the origin of goods or services, but does not convey any information about the specific nature of these goods or services. In other words, a trademark does not designate any structure or acts but instead only functions to indicate a source of goods or services.

Claims 12-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. One is unable to determine whether applicant is attempting to claim a method or an apparatus invention. Regarding claim 12, the phrase "arranged to" renders the claim indefinite because it is unclear whether the limitations following the phrase are part of the claimed invention. See MPEP § 2173.05(d).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,423

Art Unit: 2133

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 5-9, 12, 16-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Yamashita et al. (JP 07143100 A, cited in applicant's IDS, see also the cited machine translation thereof) who teaches performing error correction on received data (error corresction circuit 20), determining if the error correction has failed (signal (d) becomes a low level when the correction has failed), determining a CRC value associated with the received data (data (e) is inputted into a CRC circuit 26) where the received data conforms to a wireless ([0002] a mobile FM multiplex broadcast) transmission protocol in data packet format ([0002]the data packet which encoded 190 original data, and 82 parity packets), where received data is rejected if the CRC value is not valid (The circuit 24 receives the signals (d) and (f), transmits a signal (g) when errors do not exist in the both signals and loads data (h) to a memory 16 (therefore, data (h) is not loaded into memory 16, i.e. rejected when error do exist in both signals).

Claims 1, 5-9, 12, 16-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kazuo et al. (EP 0 802 636 A2, cited in applicant's IDS) who teaches performing error correction on received data (S105, Fig. 4), determining if the error correction has failed (S109, Fig. 4), determining a CRC value associated with the received data (S107, Fig. 4) where the data is rejected (S111, Fig. 4) if the CRC value is not valid (S108 "Yes", Fig. 4) where the received data conforms to a wireless transmission protocol (Figs 1 and 2 e.g.) in data packet format (column 5, lines 41-44)

Claims 1, 5-9, 12, 16-19 and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Brückner (DE 42 14 750 A1) who shows who teaches performing error correction on received data (Korrecturversuch entsp. FEC Korrecturvermögen, Fig. 1), determining if the error correction has failed (CRC-ok? in Figures 1, 2 and 4, Fig. 1), determining a CRC value associated with the received data (Variation I Korrecturversuch von n+2 Fehlern, Fig. 1), where the data is rejected (Datenblock nicht korregierbar, Fig. 4) if the CRC value is not valid (CRC ok? {nein}, Fig. 4), where the received data conforms to a wireless transmission protocol (Teletext), in data packet format (Datenblock, Fig. 4)

Application/Control Number: 10/611,423

Art Unit: 2133

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-4 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if

rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 10 and 20 are allowed.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Tang et

al. (IBM TDB Vol. 24, No. 5, Pages 2469 - 2472) shows an FEC appended to a CRC.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: none of the cited

references teach or fairly suggest counting the number of bits changed in the received data (claims 2-3 and 10) or re-

encoding data (claims 4) or determining the number of errors in the received data (claim 20).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be

directed to R. Stephen Dildine whose telephone number is (571) 272-3820. The examiner can normally be reached

on M - F 5:30 am to 2:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Albert Decady

can be reached on (571) 272-3819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information

Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR

or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more

information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the

Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

R. Stephen Dildine Primary Examiner

Art Unit 2133